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#### Abstract

Background: The magnitude of overweight and obesity has been on the increasing trend among Indian children that range to 9 to $27.5 \%$ and 1 to $12.9 \%$ respectively. Enhanced obesity in adolescents induces morbidities like hypertension, respiratory dieses, diabetes mellitus, orthopedic disorders, heart diseases and cardiovascular risks and elevated serum lipid concentrations especially of VLDL and high LDL-C. O bjective: The study focused on cardiovascular disease risk factors to determine the prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors (pre-hypertension/ hypertension, borderline-high/ high LDL-C, low HDL-C, and prediabetes/ diabetes) by weight status (normal weight, overweight, obese) and their trends among Indian adolescents aged between 12-19 yrs. M ethodology: A school based cross sectional study was carried out in Raichur involving 200 students. Study subjects were selected by systemic random sampling method. With thehelp of preselected proformadata regarding our study parameters werecollected. Thestudy was undertaken in themonth of July and A ugust. After getting detailed information and consent by theguardians of students, theblood samplewas collected and sent to biochemistry department for analysis of lipid profile. Results: Out of 200 subjects, $24 \%$ each were from 12 to 13 and 14 to 15 years agegroup and $26 \%$ each from 16 to 17 and 18 to 19 years age group. M ajority i.e. $56 \%$ was males and $44 \%$ werefemales. Distribution of study population according to BMI where $78 \%$ were normal, $10 \%$ were obese and $12 \%$ were overweight. Prevalence of hypertension was observed in 6\% population wherealmost 11.5\%werefrom 18-19years agegroup. Prevalence of diastolic hypertension was $2.5 \%$ and seen in males (3.6\%). Diastolic hypertension seen in $2.5 \%$ and majority i.e. $3.8 \%$ were from $18-19$ yrs age group. High level of triglycerides was observed in $2 \%$ of subjects which belongs from 18-19yrs age. Comparison betwen male and female with respect to various study parameters reveled that mean age\& mean LDL differencewas observed statistically significant ( $<0.05$ ) whereas in other variables themean difference was not proved to besignificant ( $>0.05$ ). Conclusion: With this study we would liketo place a take homemessage that theover weight and obesity is highly preval ent among adolescents. Among the over weight and obese its found that in few dyslipidemia and hypertension has al ready set in. hence we would suggest thelateadolescents is the best time identify the adolescents with obesity and other risk factors and to adopt necessary life style modifications.
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## Introduction

Studying trends of changes in prevalence of overweight and Obesity has become an important anthropometric study as it allows researches and
policy makers to design specific and targeted programs aimed at checking physiological abnormalities in adolescents in India. The magnitude of overweight and obesity has been on the increasing trend among Indian children that range to 9 to $27.5 \%$ and 1 to $12.9 \%$ respectively ${ }^{[1,2,3]}$.

[^0]Developed and developing countries across theglobe has shown nutritional disorder among children and adolescents especially hypertension, diabetics and CVD [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]. Studies reveal that overweight children have a greater chance of becoming overweight adolescents and obese adults compared to children of normal weight [12,13]. Enhanced obesity in adolescents induces morbidities like hypertension, respiratory dieses, diabetes mellitus, orthopedic disorders, heart diseases and cardiovascular risks and elevated serum lipid concentrations especially of VLDL and high LDL-C [12,14,15]. There has been studies pertaining to adolescent and teenage obesity and overweight in pockets of areas across India [16,17,18,19,20, $21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]$.

## M ethodology

A school based cross sectional study was carried out in Raichur involving 200 students. Study subjects were selected by systemic random sampling method. With the help of preselected proforma data regarding our study parameters were collected. Students aged 12 - 19 yrs were categorized into category I (12-13 yrs), category II ( $14-15 \mathrm{yrs}$ ), category III (16-17yrs) and category IV ( $18-19 \mathrm{yrs}$ ) for data analysis purpose. There was a $100 \%$ response from the subjects of selected group I and IV. The study was undertaken in the month of July and August 2014. After getting detailed information and consent from the subject and their guardian blood sample of the students was collected and sent to biochemistry department for analysis of lipid profile.

## Statistical analysis

Total sample ( $\mathrm{n}=200$ ) was divided into 4 age groups: group I ( $12-13$ yrs, $n=50$ ), group II (14-15 yrs, $\mathrm{n}=50$ ), group III ( $16-17 \mathrm{yrs}, \mathrm{n}=50$ ) and group IV ( $18-19 y r s, n=50$ ). Statistical analysis was done by using SPSS 19.0 version. Data are presented as mean, standard deviation and percentages. Pearson ChiSquare test was applied to find out association between two variables. P-value $<0.05$ was significant and $<0.001$ was considered statistically highly significant. Since the outcomes within a cluster are likely to be correlated, the data wereanalyzed as a cluster sample to obtain correct estimates of standard deviation and standard error. Mean difference between variables (quantitative) was compared by using unpaired't' test and oneway ANOVA.

## Results

Out of 200 subjects, $24 \%$ each werefrom 12 to 13 and 14 to 15 years age group and $26 \%$ each from 16 to 17 and 18 to 19 years age group. Of the 200 respondents $56 \%$ were males and $44 \%$ werefemales. Majority ( $31.8 \%$ ) of females werefrom $16-17$ yrs age group whereas $35.8 \%$ of females were from 18 -19 yrs age group. A ssociation between agegroup and sex was found statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ). Distribution of study population according to BMI where $78 \%$ werenormal, $12 \%$ were overweight and $10 \%$ wereobese. Majority of study population (72.2\% ) of females and $82.1 \%$ of males werehaving normal BMI. Prevalence of obesity amongst females was $18.2 \%$ \& amongst males was $3.6 \%$. Association between BMI and sex was found to be statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

Out of $20,40 \%$ of obese werefrom $14-15 \mathrm{yrs}$ age group and out of 24 overweight, $33.3 \%$ each were from 12-13\&16-17yrs agegroup. Association between BMI and age was found to be statistically not significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Distribution according to SBP \& sex reveals that $92.1 \%$ of females and $83.9 \%$ of males having SBP between $120-130 \mathrm{mmH}$ g. Association between SBP \& sex was not statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ). Prevalenceof hypertension was observed in $6 \%$ population wherealmost $11.5 \%$ were from 18-19yrs of agegroup. Prevalence of diastolic hypertension was $2.5 \%$ and seen in males (3.6\%). Diastolic hypertension seen in $2.5 \%$ and majority i.e. 3.8\% werefrom 18-19yrs agegroup.

High level of triglycerides was observed in 2\% of subjects which belongs from 18 -19 yrs age.

M ajority of subjects $32.5 \%$ having HDL within range of 31-40. A ssociation between HDL and age was found to behighly significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) M ore than half i.e.57.5\% having LDL between 51-100 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} .41 .5 \%$ subjects have their LDL between $101-150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, of which majority ( $63.5 \%$ ) were from 18-19 years of age group Association between LDL and age was not found to be statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Only $1.5 \%$ subjects were having high cholesterol ( $>200 \mathrm{mg} /$ dl) of which $4.2 \%$ were from $12-13 \mathrm{yrs}$ agegroup. Association between level of cholesterol and age was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Mean BMI value (23.5) were observed to be at higher side in 18-19 yrs age as compare with other age group. Comparison of mean difference between various age group was found statistically highly significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). M ean SBP was found higher i.e. 133.85 in $18-19$ yrs age.

Comparison of SBP mean differencebetween various age group was found statistically not significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Mean HDL was higher in 12-13yrs age as compared to other age group which proved to be statistically highly significant ( $<0.001$ ). Comparison of mean differencein LDL level at differentagegroup was not proved to besignificant (>0.05). Thedifferencein
mean cholesterol level in various agegroup was seen to benot significant statistically ( $>0.05$ ). Comparison between maleand femalewith respectto variousstudy parameters reveled that mean age \& mean LDL differencewas observed statistically significant ( $<0.05$ ) whereas in other variables themean differencewas not proved to besignificant (>0.05).

Table 1: Distribution of study population according to BMI \& sex

| BM I <br> Grades | Female | $\%$ | M ale | $\%$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | 64 | 72.7 | 92 | 82.1 | 156 |
| Obese | 16 | 18.2 | 4 | 3.6 | 20 |
| Overweight | 8 | 9.1 | 16 | 14.3 | 24 |
| Total | 88 | 100.0 | 112 | 100.0 | 200 |

Pearson Chi-Square=12.18, df=2
$\mathrm{P}=0.002$ ( $<0.05$ ) Significant

Table 2: Distribution of study population according to BMI \& age

| A ge group <br> (years) | Normal | BMI G rades | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obese | Overweight |  |  |  |
| 12 to 13 | $36(23.1 \%)$ | $4(20 \%)$ | $8(33.3 \%)$ | 48 |
| 14 to 15 | $36(23.1 \%)$ | $8(40 \%)$ | $4(16.7 \%)$ | 48 |
| 16 to 17 | $40(25.6 \%)$ | $4(20 \%)$ | $8(33.3 \%)$ | 52 |
| 18 to 19 | $44(28.2 \%)$ | $4(20 \%)$ | $4(16.7 \%)$ | 52 |
| Total | $156(100 \%)$ | $20(100 \%)$ | $24(100 \%)$ | 200 |

Pearson Chi-Square $=5.94, \mathrm{df}=6$
$P=0.43$ ( $>0.05$ ) N ot significant

Majority of study population (72.2\%) Of females and $82.1 \%$ of males were having normal BMI. Prevalence of obesity amongst females was $18.2 \%$ \& amongst males was $3.6 \%$.

A ssociation between BMI and sex was found to be statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ )

Out of $20,40 \%$ of obese were from $14-15$ yrs age group and out of 24 overweight, $33.3 \%$ each were from 12-13\&16-17 yrs agegroup.

A ssociation between BM I and age was found to be statistically not significant ( $p>0.05$ )

Table 3: Distribution of study population according to different variables
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { N } \\ \text { Statistic }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Range } \\ \text { Statistic }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Minimum } \\ \text { Statistic }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Satistics } \\ \text { Statistic }\end{array} & \text { Statistic } & \text { M ean } & \text { Std. Error }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Std. Deviation } \\ \text { Statistic }\end{array}\right]$

Table 4: Distribution according to SBP \& sex

| SBP | Sex |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Females | $\%$ | M ales | $\%$ | Total |
| $120-130$ | 81 | 92.1 | 94 | 83.9 | 175 |
| $130-140$ | 5 | 5.7 | 8 | 7.2 | 13 |
| $140-150$ | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8.9 | 10 |
| $>150$ | 2 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 88 | 100 | 112 | 100 | 200 |

Distribution according to SBP \& sex reveals that $92.1 \%$ of females and $83.9 \%$ of males having SBP between $120-130 \mathrm{mmHg}$

Association between SBP \& sex was not statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ )

Table 5: Age wise distribution of SBP

| SBP | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | Total |
| $120=130$ | $44(91.7 \%)$ | $41(85.4 \%)$ | $48(92.3 \%)$ | $42(80.8 \%)$ | $175(87.5 \%)$ |
| $130=140$ | $4(8.3 \%)$ | $5(10.4 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $3(5.8 \%)$ | $13(6.5 \%)$ |
| $140=150$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2(4.2 \%)$ | $2(3.9 \%)$ | $6(11.5 \%)$ | $10(5 \%)$ |
| $>150$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ |
| Total | $48(100 \%)$ | $48(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $200(100 \%)$ |

Prevalence of hypertension was observed in 6\% years agegroup. population where almost 11.5 \% were from 18-19

Table 6: Distribution of DBP according to Age

| DBP | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | Total |
| $80-84$ | $33(68.75 \%)$ | $19(39.6 \%)$ | $39(75 \%)$ | $21(40.5 \%)$ | $112(56 \%)$ |
| $84-88$ | $15(31.25 \%)$ | $29(60.4 \%)$ | $11(21.2 \%)$ | $28(53.8 \%)$ | $83(41.5 \%)$ |
| $88-92$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ |
| 992 | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $2(3.8 \%)$ | $3(1.5 \%)$ |
| Total | $48(100 \%)$ | $48(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $200(100 \%)$ |

Diastolic hypertension seen in $2.5 \%$ and majority i.e. $3.8 \%$ werefrom $18-19$ yrs age group.
Table 7: Distribution of TG according to Age

| TG | 12-13 | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | A ge | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<100$ | $5(10.4 \%)$ | $5(10.4 \%)$ | $16(30.8 \%)$ | $8(15.4 \%)$ | Total |
| $101-150$ | $28(58.3)$ | $19(39.6 \%)$ | $20(38.4 \%)$ | $24(46.1 \%)$ | $91(45.5 \%)$ |
| $151-200$ | $15(31.3 \%)$ | $24(50 \%)$ | $16(30.8 \%)$ | $16(30.8 \%)$ | $71(35.5 \%)$ |
| $>200$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(7.7 \%)$ | $4(2 \%)$ |
| Total | $48(100 \%)$ | $48(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $200(100 \%)$ |

High level of triglycerides was observed in $2 \%$ of subjects which belongs from 18-19 yrs age.
Table 8: Distribution of HDL according to Age

| HDL | Age |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| $<20$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $8(15.4 \%)$ | $4(7.7 \%)$ | $12(6 \%)$ |
| $21-30$ | $12(25 \%)$ | $12(25 \%)$ | $16(30.8 \%)$ | $19(36.5 \%)$ | $59(29.5 \%)$ |
| $31-40$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $24(50 \%)$ | $28(53.8 \%)$ | $13(25 \%)$ | $65(32.5 \%)$ |
| $41-50$ | $36(75 \%)$ | $8(16.7 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $16(30.8 \%)$ | $60(30 \%)$ |
| $>50$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(8.3 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $4(2 \%)$ |
| Total | $48(100 \%)$ | $48(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $200(100 \%)$ |

Pearson Chi-Square=54.7, df=12 $\mathrm{P}=0.0001$ (<0.001) Highly significant
Majority of subjects $32.5 \%$ having HDL within range of $31-40$.
Association between HDL and age was found to be highly significant ( $p<0.001$ )
Table 9. Distribution of LDL according to Age

| LD L | A ge |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| $<50$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2(3.8 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ |
| $51-100$ | $32(66.7 \%)$ | $29(60.4 \%)$ | $35(67.4 \%)$ | $19(36.5 \%)$ | $115(57.5 \%)$ |
| $101-150$ | $16(33.3 \%)$ | $19(39.6 \%)$ | $15(28.8 \%)$ | $33(63.5 \%)$ | $83(41.5 \%)$ |
| Total | $48(100 \%)$ | $48(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $200(100 \%)$ |

Pearson Chi-Square=38.3, df=12
$\mathrm{P}=0.078(>0.05)$ Not Significant

M orethan half i.e.,57.5\% having LDL between 51$100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} .41 .5 \%$ subjects have their LDL between $101-150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, of which majority ( $63.5 \%$ ) werefrom

18-19years of agegroup A ssociation between LDL and agewas not found to be statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ )

Table 10: Distribution of Cholesterol according to Age

| Cholesterol | $\mathbf{y y y y}$ | Age | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| $<100$ | $1(2.1 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $5(9.6 \%)$ | $2(3.8 \%)$ | $8(4 \%)$ |
| $101-150$ | $15(31.2 \%)$ | $15(31.2 \%)$ | $19(36.6 \%)$ | $23(44.3 \%)$ | $72(36 \%)$ |
| $151-200$ | $30(62.5 \%)$ | $32(66.7 \%)$ | $28(53.8 \%)$ | $27(51.9 \%)$ | $117(58.5 \%)$ |
| $>200$ | $2(4.2 \%)$ | $1(2.1 \%)$ | $0(\% \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(1.5 \%)$ |
| Total | $48(100 \%)$ | $48(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $52(100 \%)$ | $200(100 \%)$ |

Pearson Chi-Square=11.6, df=12
$P=0.77$ ( $>0.05$ ) N ot Significant

Only $1.5 \%$ subjects were having high chol esterol ( $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) of which $4.2 \%$ werefrom $12-13$ yrs age group.

A ssociation between level of cholesterol and age was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ )

Table 11: Comparison between male and female subjects with reference to various parameters

| V ariables | SEX | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | Inference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A ge | Male | 112 | 15.96 | 2.42 | 2.724 | 0.007 | Significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 15.09 | 2.00 |  | $<0.05$ |  |
| BM I | Male | 112 | 21.91 | 3.11 | -.944 | 0.346 | Not significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 22.40 | 4.21 |  | $>0.05$ |  |
| SBP | Male | 112 | 132.93 | 6.54 | 1.973 | 0.053 | Not significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 131.00 | 7.24 |  | $>0.05$ |  |
| D BP | Male | 112 | 86.00 | 3.79 | .819 | 0.414 | Not significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 85.55 | 4.02 |  | $>0.05$ |  |
| TG | Male | 112 | 124.61 | 33.80 | -2.433 | 0.060 | Not significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 136.36 | 27.18 |  | $>0.05$ |  |
| H D L | Male | 112 | 34.29 | 9.72 | -.500 | 0.618 | Not significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 35.00 | 10.39 |  | $>0.05$ |  |
| LD L | Male | 112 | 87.86 | 14.88 | -5.623 | 0.007 | Significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 102.59 | 22.07 |  | $<0.05$ |  |
| Cholesterol | Male | 112 | 155.79 | 23.69 | -1.007 | 0.18 | Not significant |
|  | Female | 88 | 161.86 | 28.92 |  | $>0.05$ |  |

Comparison between maleand female with respect to various study parameters reveled that mean age\& mean LDL difference was observed statistically
significant ( $<0.05$ ) whereas in other variables the mean difference was not proved to be significant ( $>0.05$ )
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## Discussion

M oreand morekids areat risk as per the medical research, that high levels of cholesterol are a major factor contributing to heart disease and stroke. Adolescent cholesterol levels especially with afamily history of high chol esterol leads to prematureheart diseases; however, problems associated with high cholesterol generally don't show up for years so making the between teenager'sheath and cholesterol can be difficult. Published literatureon theprevalence of childhood obesity in India consists mainly of cross-sectional studies in different regions of the country, reporting its burden at a specified time. Studies from South India have reported an obesity prevalence of $3.6 \%$ in adolescents of agegroup 1318 years of Chennai in year $2002{ }^{[1]}$ and $3.4 \%$ in children and adolescents of age-group 5-16 years of Mysore in year 2009. Several cross-sectional studies havebeen published fromNorth India reporting the childhood obesity prevalence in the range of 3.6$7.0 \%{ }^{[45,46,42]}$. However, only onestudy, from Kerala (South India), has reported secular trend in the prevalence of childhood obesity ${ }^{[47]}$. These authors reported a significant increase in the preval ence of overweight and obesity from $4.94 \%$ and $1.26 \%$ in 2003 to $6.57 \%$ and $1.89 \%$ in 2005 , respectively, in children aged 5-16 years. Theincreasing trend was noted in both sexes and privately-funded schools only. However, theinvestigators used CDC-defined cutoffs for determining the overweight and obesity prevalence. As thereferencepopulation for CDC cutoffs did not includeA sian Indians, thesecut-offsmay not accurately represent the burden of childhood obesity in India. On the other hand, weused ethnic-specificcut-offsfor our study population, which have been previously reported in adult Asian Indian populations also ${ }^{[19,48,49]}$.

The BMI classification has four categories: (1) under-nutrition (2) normal (3) overweight and (4) obese. The levels of obesity and overweight varied
across the socio economic status. India is facing a twin epidemic (19) in theform of under- and overnutrition in children and adolescents. The prevalence of obesity was morethan 5 per cent and overweight more than 7 per cent among those belonging to upper socio economic class. Thestudy cannot betaken as representativeof theentiredistrict; however, a number above $10 \%$ (of obese people) is very high so $16.3 \%$ of obese adolescents in an area is high (and therefore a matter of concern). 7\% of those who wereoverweight belonged to the higher socioeconomic class. Works of ${ }^{[50,51,52,199}$, say, increasing preval ence of obesity and insulin resistance has been thetendency in A sian Indians. Thetwin epidemic of Indians either dueto over-nutrition and/ or undernutrition; theformer predisposed to insulin resistance type2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM ) and the latter a host of deficiency disorders both category end up with metabolic syndromes. A ccording to ${ }^{[19]}$, high total fat and SFA (saturated fatty acids) intake and a low intakeof MUFAs (monounsaturated fatty acids) and 3PUFAsshowed imbalanced nutrition, which could beresponsiblefor theincreasing prevalence of obesity and insulin resistance in Indian adolescents and young adults.

The overall prevalence of overweight and obese adolescents among theagegroup wasfound to be $12 \%$ and 10\% respectively. A study in Hyderabad showed thatthepreval enceof overweight was $7.2 \%$ among the 12-17 yrs agegroup (Laxmaiah et al., 2007) ${ }^{31}$ and 9.9\% among the urban group of South Karnataka and Ludhiana (A ggarwal et al., 2008; K otian et al., 2010) ${ }^{32}$ Studies by other workers in India (Ramachandran et al.,2002; Chatterjee, 200233; Kaur et al., $2005^{34}$ and Khadilkar and Khadilkar, 200435) and National nutrition M onitoring Bureau surveys in 2002, rural areas, reported the prevalence of as little as $0.6 \%$.

Our study value is nearer to the urban value indicating that the demographic profile of Raichur is marching from peri-urban sector to urban segments. Out of $20,40 \%$ of obese werefrom 14-15 yrs agegroup and out of 24 overweight, $33.3 \%$ each werefrom 12-13\&16-17yrs age group. Association between BMI and age was found to be statistically not significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Overweight and obesity weremarginally higher in thepubertal age groups of 13-16yrs, perhaps because of increased adipose tissueand overall body weightin respondents during puberty. Gender is one of the biological factors affecting the weight status. In our study the prevalence of obesity amongst females was 18.2\% and amongst males was $3.6 \%$. From the literature it is observed that the prevalence of overweight is generally higher in females than males (Gopinath et
al., 199440, Gopalan 19984ㄹ, M ohan et al., 200142, Misra et al., 200143, Ramachandran et al., 2002, Reddy et al., 2012, Shukla et al., 200244, ). Findings of studies conducted in Indiaby National Family Health Survey III (2005-06) haverevealed a much higher percentage for obesity/ overweight in females than males.

Prevalence of hypertension was observed in 6\% population whereal most $11.5 \%$ werefrom 18-19yrs of agegroup. Prevalence of diastolichypertension was $2.5 \%$ and seen in males (3.6\%). Diastolichypertension seen in $2.5 \%$ and majority i.e. $3.8 \%$ were from 18-19 yrs age group. Works by others reveal the value of Gupta and Gupta (1996) ${ }^{36}$ 44\%, Dholpuria et al.,(2007) ${ }^{3750 \%}$ and Gulati and Saxena (2002) ${ }^{3874 \%}$.

Cholesterol adverseness is based on its categorical variations as HDL Cholesterol, LDL Cholesterol, Triglycerides, and Direct LDL Cholesterol. Our study revealed $32.5 \%$ of the respondents having HDL within range of 31-40. High level of triglycerides was observed in $2 \%$ of subjects which belongs from 18 -19 yrs age. Only $1.5 \%$ subjects were having high cholesterol ( $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) of which $4.2 \%$ werefrom $12-13$ yrs age group. Mean BMI value (23.5) were observed to be at higher side in 18-19 yrs age and mean SBP 133.85 higher in the sameagegroup. Thus dyslipidemia appears to bein the group of less than $14-15 y r s$ resembling serum lipid and lipoprotein changes induced by puberty and similar studies has been done by the STRIP study ${ }^{[399]}$. Such studies have been important to use as a marker of future cardiovascular disease risks.

Our study revealed the prevalence of prehypertension and hypertension in the study population at 6\%, wherein, $11.5 \%$ were from 18-19 yrs of age group. And prevalence of diastolic hypertension was $2.5 \%$ and the majority i.e., $3.8 \%$ was from 18-19 yrs age group. The present study showed elevated systolic and diastolic blood pressure in both obesity and overweight. Higher serum total cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL-cholesterol levels in both obesity and overweight. Lipid profileis used as part of a cardiac risk assessment to help determine an individual's risk of heart disease and to help make decisions about what treatment may be best if there is borderline or high risk. The results of the lipid profileare considered al ong with other known risk factors of heart diseaseto develop a plan of treatment and follow-up.

## Conclusion

With this study wewould like to placea takehome message that theover weight and obesity is highly
prevalent among adolescents. A mong the over weight and obese itsfound that in few dyslipidemia and hypertension has already set in. hence wewould suggest thelate adolescents is the best time identify the adolescents with obesity and other risk factors and to adoptnecessary life stylemodifications.
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